	Comments Template on Consultation Paper on Technical Advice on possible delegated acts concerning the Insurance Distribution Directive Deadline 3 October 2016 18:00 CET
Name of Company:	Genossenschaftsverband Bayern e.V. (GVB – Bavarian Association of Cooperatives)
Disclosure of comments:	EIOPA will make all comments available on its website, except where respondents pecifically request that their comments remain confidential.
	Please indicate if your comments on this CP should be treated as confidential, by deleting the word Public in the column to the right and by inserting the word Confidential.
	Please follow the following instructions for filling in the template:
	Do not change the numbering in the column "reference"; if you change numbering, your comment cannot be processed by our IT tool
	⇒ Leave the last column <u>empty</u> .
	Please fill in your comment in the relevant row. If you have no comment on a paragraph or a cell, keep the row empty.
	Our IT tool does not allow processing of comments which do not refer to the specific numbers below.
	Please send the completed template, in Word Format, to ${\color{red}{\bf CP-16-006@eiopa.europa.eu}}$.
	Our IT tool does not allow processing of any other formats.
	The numbering of the questions refers to the Consultation Paper on Technical Advice on possible delegated acts concerning the Insurance Distribution Directive
Reference	Comment
General Comment	In the present consultation document it is defined, among other things, in which cases it is permitted to pay commissions for the distribution of insurance. After lengthy discussions in Brussels, as part of the trialogue negotiations between the European Commission, the European Parliament and the Council, the Insurance Distribution Directive (IDD) includes a national option to keep a system of commissions in insurance distribution. Thereafter inducements shall be allowed formally, as long as

	Comments Template on Consultation Paper on Technical Advice on possible delegated acts concerning the Insurance Distribution Directive	Deadline 3 October 2016 18:00 CET
	they do not have a detrimental impact on the quality of the relevant service to the customer. However, in the Draft Technical Advice on inducements on pp. 54 et seq., there is defined a whole bunch of criteria to be met by insurance intermediaries or insurance undertakings. These conditions will lead to a de facto prohibition of commissions in insurance distribution. Therefore the national option to maintain commissions is eroded and the intention of the EU legislators is clearly disregarded. The rules of the Draft Technical Advice are clearly to the expense of the European consumers. Because commissions are allowing a competent and comprehensive financial advice, as offered by the Bavarian Volksbanken and Raiffeisenbanken in their well-equipped branches that are always held up-to-date technologically. An essential part of these advisory services is the distribution of insurance. Also from the perspective of consumer protection the basic risks of life of the customers, such as liability or occupational disability, have to be covered before they should start with the accumulation of their financial assets. In view of the increasing information and documentation requirements from Brussels, commissions are also necessary to ensure, in the future, a holistic and affordable insurance advice for everyone. The EU legislators clearly wanted to allow a juxtaposition of commission-based and fee-based advisory services. The standards need to be revised accordingly so that commission-based advisory services remain feasible. This is the only way for the banks to still being able to offer comprehensive financial advisory services to	
Question 1	everyone. No comment	
Question 2	No comment	
Question 3	No comment	
Question 4	No comment	
Question 5	No comment	
Question 6	No comment	
Question 7	No comment	
Question 8	No comment	

	Comments Template on Consultation Paper on Technical Advice on possible delegated acts concerning the Insurance Distribution Directive	Deadline 3 October 2016 18:00 CET
Question 9	No comment	
Question 10	No comment	
Question 11	Whether a business transaction has a detrimental impact on one of the parties involved, is generally always in the eye of the beholder. We hereby fundamentally support the proposal of taking a holistic view of the underlying transaction. Providing a list of more or less ambiguous conditions, however, is the wrong way in this case. We advocate leaving the judgment over this, in dialogue with the bank, to the customer himself.	Public
Question 12	Such a list is neither necessary nor effective for the protection of the consumers. The mentioned criteria allow for a wide margin of discretion, particularly as the list is explicitly non-exhaustive. This will always lead to a subjective decision. In addition, due to the legal uncertainty and the vague legal concepts like "detrimental impact" and "in the best interest of the customer", a useful and necessary insurance contract for the customer might possibly be rejected.	Public
	In Germany the commission-based insurance distribution is, and has always been, the rule. This model has proven itself over time. The commission-based advisory ensures a comprehensive service for all customers on all income tiers. A prohibition of commissions in contrast will exclude large parts of the population from accessing essential insurances. Not every customer can afford the fee for an expensive consultant. It is actually not the type of compensation that is decisive for better consumer protection, but the quality of the advisory services. The Bavarian cooperative banks are continuously looking for improvement in this area, in favour of the customer and consumer protection.	Public
Question 12	A prohibition of commissions would disadvantage small investors. Only with commission-based advisory services a consultancy can also be offered to customers with limited financial resources. In addition, commissions also allow a qualified and personal advice for insurance products with low contribution rates (personal liability, travel insurance, etc.)	
Question 14	travel insurance, etc.). No matter if it is about investment or about insurance: The decisions on their financial transactions with the Bavarian cooperative banks are always made by the customers	Public
Question 14	themselves. Therefore the banks are providing the customer with any information that	

	Deadline 3 October 2016 18:00 CET	
	could be crucial to his decision to then consider together with the customer, whether the conclusion of a specific deal makes sense for him (or is in his "best interest"). Hence, there is no need for protection of the customer by the legislators in this context.	
Question 15	No comment	
Question 16	No comment	
Question 17	No comment	
Question 18	No comment	
Question 19	No comment	
Question 20	No comment	
Question 21	No comment	
Question 22	No comment	
Question 23	No comment	
Question 24	No comment	
Question 25	No comment	
Question 26	No comment	