

Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation		Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
Name of Company:	University of Amsterdam (prof. Michel Vellekoop)	
Disclosure of comments:	Please indicate if your comments should be treated as confidential:	Public
<p>Please follow the following instructions for filling in the template:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⇒ Do not change the numbering in the column "reference"; if you change numbering, your comment cannot be processed by our IT tool ⇒ Leave the last column <u>empty</u>. ⇒ Please fill in your comment in the relevant row. If you have <u>no comment</u> on a paragraph or a cell, keep the row <u>empty</u>. ⇒ Our IT tool does not allow processing of comments which do not refer to the specific numbers below. <p>Please send the completed template, <u>in Word Format</u>, to CP-16-003@eiopa.europa.eu</p> <p>Our IT tool does not allow processing of any other formats.</p> <p>The numbering of the paragraphs refers to on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation.</p>		
Reference	Comment	
General Comment	<p>The scope of this consultation is limited to the value of the UFR, but the stated goal of policyholder protection would have been served even better by a consultation on the entire term structure extrapolation procedure. Disadvantages of the current approach, such as inconsistencies with observed market data for liquid maturities and kinks in the nominal yield and forward curves, could then have been avoided or mitigated. This can for example be achieved by using a different method to determine the last liquid point and/or the speed of convergence, or by using methods that have been reported in the academic literature which define the UFR as a weighted average of historical or current forward rates from the liquid part of the curve. A simple special case of the</p>	

**Comments Template on the
Consultation Paper
on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation**

**Deadline
18 July 2016
23:59 CET**

	last approach extends the last liquid forward rate to all higher maturities; that method was used by some central banks before the Solvency II extrapolation method was introduced.	
Q1. (pg. 56)	The fundamental assumption underlying the approach proposed in the consultation paper is that forward rates for very high maturities can be reliably estimated as the sum of weighted averages of historical real rates and central banks' inflation targets. But this leads to extrapolated nominal term structures that are incompatible with, for example, current market data for the deep, liquid and transparent part of the euro curve between maturities 20 and 30. This suggests that this fundamental assumption does not necessarily hold under all circumstances. It also suggests that the proposed approach may be at odds with Article 43(a) of the Delegated Regulation, which states that insurance and reinsurance undertakings must be able to earn the rates in a risk-free manner in practice.	
Q2. (pg. 56)		
Q3. (pg. 56)		
Q4. (pg. 56)		
Q5. (pg. 56)		
Q6. (pg. 56)		
Q7. (pg. 56)		
Paragraph 1.		
Paragraph 2.		
Paragraph 3.		
Paragraph 4.		
Paragraph 5.		
Paragraph 6.		
Paragraph 7.		

**Comments Template on the
Consultation Paper
on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation**

**Deadline
18 July 2016
23:59 CET**

Paragraph 8.		
Paragraph 9.		
Paragraph 10.		
Paragraph 11.		
Paragraph 12.	To achieve the stated objective, choosing the UFR appropriately is not enough. The choice of the last liquid point and the speed of convergence are equally important if one wants to avoid that insurance undertakings may set up provisions for their long-term obligations towards policholders which are too low.	
Paragraph 13.		
Paragraph 14.		
Paragraph 15.		
Paragraph 16.	Most reported concerns are not focussing on the interest rate for maturities of 60 years or higher but on maturities directly after the so-called last liquid point, for which there is still sufficient liquidity. It is unlikely that the artificially created curve for these maturities, which contains data points which have zero depth and zero liquidity, provides a more accurate estimate of the cost of riskfree cashflows than rates that are based on the fixed income markets in which such cashflows are constantly bought and sold.	
Paragraph 17.		
Paragraph 18.		
Paragraph 19.		
Paragraph 20.		
Paragraph 21.		
Paragraph 22.		
Paragraph 23.		
Paragraph 24.		
Paragraph 25.		

**Comments Template on the
Consultation Paper
on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation**

**Deadline
18 July 2016
23:59 CET**

Paragraph 26.		
Paragraph 27.		
Paragraph 28.		
Paragraph 29.		
Paragraph 30.		
Paragraph 31.		
Paragraph 32.		
Paragraph 33.		
Paragraph 34.		
Paragraph 35.		
Paragraph 36.		
Paragraph 37.	In papers such as the one by Ang, Bekaert and Wei cited in the footnote for this paragraph, the authors decompose nominal rates into real rates, expected inflation and inflation risk premiums ('term premiums'). But they do not assume that the last ones are zero or that the first two are constant over time. On the contrary, they emphasize that regime shifts can occur which may cause these values to change and they state (at the end of page 832 in their paper): ' <i>We obtain inflation risk premiums of [this] low magnitude only in high real rates regimes and in normal times assign almost all of the positive nominal yield spread to inflation risk premiums</i> '. That does not correspond to the methodology proposed in the consultation paper.	
Paragraph 38.		
Paragraph 39.		
Paragraph 40.		
Paragraph 41.		
Paragraph 42.		
Paragraph 43.		
Paragraph 44.		

**Comments Template on the
Consultation Paper
on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation**

**Deadline
18 July 2016
23:59 CET**

Paragraph 45.		
Paragraph 46.		
Paragraph 47.	<p>When an UFR calculation method based on forward rates results in estimates that are less stable over time, this is not necessarily a disadvantage. Financial risk management implies that one must constantly assess whether changes in the financial markets necessitate an adjustment in current strategies and/or policies. If estimators are used which change only very slowly under the most detrimental circumstances, this may pose a serious risk in the long run. As stated in paragraph 17 of the consultation document: <i>'It should also be considered that delaying any change of the UFR due to current changes in long-term expectations may result in even more drastic changes of the UFR in the future, in case the long-term expectations have then moved further away from the current UFRs'</i>. Paragraph 1 of Article 47 in the Delegated Regulation does not quantify the term 'stable' so it should not be used to disqualify alternative approaches in which the inherent uncertainty in long term interest rates manifests itself in the form of fluctuations in estimates over time.</p>	
Paragraph 48.		
Paragraph 49.		
Paragraph 50.		
Paragraph 51.		
Paragraph 52.		
Paragraph 53.		
Paragraph 54.		
Paragraph 55.		
Paragraph 56.		
Paragraph 57.		
Paragraph 58.		
Paragraph 59.		
Paragraph 60.		

**Comments Template on the
Consultation Paper
on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation**

**Deadline
18 July 2016
23:59 CET**

Paragraph 61.		
Paragraph 62.		
Paragraph 63.		
Paragraph 64.		
Paragraph 65.		
Paragraph 66.		
Paragraph 67.		
Paragraph 68.		
Paragraph 69.		
Paragraph 70.		
Paragraph 71.		
Paragraph 72.		
Paragraph 73.		
Paragraph 74.		
Paragraph 75.		
Paragraph 76.		
Paragraph 77.		
Paragraph 78.		
Paragraph 79.		
Paragraph 80.		
Paragraph 81.		
Paragraph 82.		
Paragraph 83.		
Paragraph 84.		
Paragraph 85.		

**Comments Template on the
Consultation Paper
on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation**

**Deadline
18 July 2016
23:59 CET**

Paragraph 86.		
Paragraph 87.		
Paragraph 88.		
Paragraph 89.		
Paragraph 90.		
Paragraph 91.		
Paragraph 92.		
Paragraph 93.		
Paragraph 94.		
Paragraph 95.		
Paragraph 96.		
Paragraph 97.		
Paragraph 98.		
Paragraph 99.		
Paragraph 100.		
Paragraph 101.		
Paragraph 102.		
Paragraph 103.		
Paragraph 104.		
Paragraph 105.		
Paragraph 106.		
Paragraph 107.		
Paragraph 108.		
Paragraph 109.		
Paragraph 110.		

**Comments Template on the
Consultation Paper
on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation**

**Deadline
18 July 2016
23:59 CET**

Paragraph 111.		
Paragraph 112.		
Paragraph 113.		
Paragraph 114.		
Paragraph 115.		
Paragraph 116.		
Paragraph 117.		
Paragraph 118.		
Paragraph 119.		
Paragraph 120.		
Paragraph 121.		
Paragraph 122.		
Paragraph 123.		
Paragraph 124.		
Paragraph 125.		
Paragraph 126.		
Paragraph 127.		
Paragraph 128.		
Paragraph 129.		
Paragraph 130.		
Paragraph 131.		
Paragraph 132.		
Paragraph 133.		
Paragraph 134.		
Paragraph 135.		

**Comments Template on the
Consultation Paper
on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation**

**Deadline
18 July 2016
23:59 CET**

Paragraph 136.		
Paragraph 137.		
Paragraph 138.		
Paragraph 139.		
Paragraph 140.		
Paragraph 141.		
Paragraph 142.		
Paragraph 143.		
Paragraph 144.		
Paragraph 145.		
Paragraph 146.		
Paragraph 147.		
Paragraph 148.		
Paragraph 149.		
Paragraph 150.		
Paragraph 151.		
Paragraph 152.		
Paragraph 153.		
Paragraph 154.		
Paragraph 155.		
Paragraph 156.		
Paragraph 157.		
Paragraph 158.		
Paragraph 159.		
Paragraph 160.		

**Comments Template on the
Consultation Paper
on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation**

**Deadline
18 July 2016
23:59 CET**

Paragraph 161.		
Paragraph 162.		
Paragraph 163.		
Paragraph 164.		
Paragraph 165.		
Paragraph 166.		
Paragraph 167.		
Paragraph 168.		
Paragraph 169.		
Paragraph 170.		
Paragraph 171.		
Paragraph 172.		
Paragraph 173.		
Paragraph 174.		
Paragraph 175.		
Paragraph 176.		
Paragraph 177.		
Paragraph 178.		
Paragraph 179.		
Paragraph 180.		
Paragraph 181.		
Paragraph 182.		
Paragraph 183.		
Paragraph 184.		
Paragraph 185.		

**Comments Template on the
Consultation Paper
on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation**

**Deadline
18 July 2016
23:59 CET**

Paragraph 186.		
Paragraph 187.		
Paragraph 188.		
Paragraph 189.		
Paragraph 190.		
Paragraph 191.		
Paragraph 192.		
Paragraph 193.		
Paragraph 194.		
Paragraph 195.		
Paragraph 196.		
Paragraph 197.		
Paragraph 198.		
Paragraph 199.		
Paragraph 200.		
Paragraph 201.		
Paragraph 202.		
Paragraph 203.		
Paragraph 204.		
Paragraph 205.		
Paragraph 206.		
Paragraph 207.		
Paragraph 208.		
Paragraph 209.		
Paragraph 210.		

**Comments Template on the
Consultation Paper
on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation**

**Deadline
18 July 2016
23:59 CET**

Paragraph 211.		
Paragraph 212.		
Paragraph 213.		
Paragraph 214.		
Paragraph 215.		
Paragraph 216.		
Paragraph 217.		
Paragraph 218.		
Paragraph 219.		
Paragraph 220.		
Paragraph 221.		
Paragraph 222.		
Paragraph 223.		
Paragraph 224.		
Paragraph 225.		
Paragraph 226.		
Paragraph 227.		
Paragraph 228.		
Paragraph 229.		
Paragraph 230.		
Paragraph 231.		