4

Consultation on Operations of the European Supervisory Authorities

IRSG Response

Key Points in IRSG Response

Union objectives.

EIOPA has been successful in increasing supervisory knowledge and convergence across the EU although the extent to which EIOPA can achieve true supervisory convergence is **limited by its** current governance structure. A very large majority of members believe that it is essential that the ESAs preserve the appropriate sectoral expertise in respect of insurance and banking supervision although a small minority of members believe that insurance and banking supervision could be combined. A very large majority of members believe the combined conduct and prudential framework should continue and a small minority advocate for a dedicated conduct supervisor. The **governance of EIOPA could be enhanced** by introducing more independence, separation of responsibilities and transparency into the current framework. The development of excessive and unnecessary instruments by EIOPA, which although nonbinding can have legal implications, should be avoided. **EIOPA has sufficient tools and powers** to achieve a degree of supervisory convergence. Additional EIOPA powers for internal models would not be appropriate. There is a small minority view within the IRSG that EIOPA should assume more powers in respect of consumer protection. EIOPA's role in equivalence assessments should be preserved and its advisory role could be strengthened in specific cases in a targeted manner. The IRSG's balanced composition, independent membership and transparent approach

provides an important part of the governance of the ESAs in the spirit of the overall European

Key Points in *Draft* Joint Stakeholder Group follow-up message

- The value of the SGs stems from their contributions to their respective ESAs, including input on consultations, own initiative work and direct interaction with ESAs staff
 - The capacity to act in a pro-active way is an effective tool to provide value added.
- The effectiveness of the SGs work for the ESAs can be improved through
 - a more systematic feedback on all SG positions (including on own initiative works)
 - **clearer link with the BoS**, including the possibility that the SG Chairs/Vice Chairs present the input of the SGs work at the BoS before a decision is reached on selected issues.
- There should be regular meetings between the SGs and BoS and between the SG Chairs/Vice Chairs and the management of the ESAs.
- The SGs would welcome a framework that enables continued joint high-level work across the different SGs in the future, in particular as regards joint consultations by the ESAs Joint Committee.
- Adequate compensation and adequate secretarial support for SG members enables the SGs to perform effectively and some improvements could be made in this regard.
- □ Clarification of the powers of the ESAs to use various convergence tools is welcome, in particular
 - The ESAs should have more accountability in providing advice to L2 legislation.
 - More transparency is needed in the development of L3 texts.