5.1



# **IORP II Pension Benefit Statement Designs**

EIOPA Occupational Pensions Stakeholder Group Frankfurt, 10 July 2019





### 1. What is the issue about?

Present the outcome on targeted consultation of the PBS designs

# 2. What does EIOPA want OPSG to do?

EIOPA welcomes OPSG responses to the recent consultation

## 3. What are the next steps?

Refinement of the PBS designs and consumer testing

### **PBS Designs: Survey responses**

# elopa

- 15 OPSG members
- 8 Other institutions
- 3 NCAs
- 2 Individuals

Next slides:

- Statistical overview of responses
- Main topics raised
- Approach to consumer testing

### **Highlights statistical overview**



Overall respondents had a slight preference for statement 1:

- Sections easier to understand (Pension projections and evolution)
- Question and answer format well perceived
- Easier to navigate and to find key information
- Colours and icons better valued than in S2

The long statements were perceived by 2/3 as not so useful

Section on planning for retirement: prompt to look at own spending/saving, link to the dashboard and pension calculator is seen as useful by 3/4

Information on ways to increase your income in retirement has split views on its usefulness

Ranking: 1. Statement 1 short, 2. S1 long, 3. Statement 2 short, 4. S2 long

### Main topics raised - content



- The 3 Scenarios differ from IORP II requirements (best estimate and unfavourable) and are not transparent (lack of assumptions, no reference to today's money / inflation)
- Pension tool/calculator to be placed next to the projections
- Name of the investment funds judgemental
- Breakdown of monthly contributions only logic if it differs
- Options to increase retirement benefits not always/legally possible; should come with "what-if" scenarios
- Information on guarantees warning sentence to be included
- Info on beneficiaries not always available, protection data issue

### Main topics raised - general



- Mock-up is a good approach to work on a end-user friendly basis
- General indications to NCAs, leave autonomy to the Pension Funds as regards the method of communication
- Not suitable for a DB type of scheme
- PBS need to be fully in line with IORP II and MS SLL. MS require agreements with social partners
- The designs are too numerical, most participants will not understand them

#### **Next steps**



- Process of refining the designs
- Development of consumer testing in Q3
  - o 12 Individual virtual & face-to-face interviews
  - o Languages: English, French, Spanish & Romanian
  - o Statement 1 short, statement 2 short & statement 1 long