

EIOPA work on infrastructure

IRSG meeting Frankfurt, 10 June 2015

Proposals for Criteria (1)



• Purpose:

- o Ensure sufficient quality of equities and unrated debt
- o Make sure that eligible infrastructure is sufficiently similar to the infrastructure that was used for calibration

• Sources:

- o Basel II
- o Criteria used by rating agencies
- o Properties of well-performing infrastructure investments

Proposals for Criteria (2)



- Relatively broad definition of infrastructure
- Focus on infrastructure **projects**

• Key criteria

- o Stable cash flows
- o Robust under a number of stresses
- o Robust contractual framework
- o Low financial risk
- o Construction risk properly mitigated
- Different criteria for rated debt, unrated debt and equity

Pillar II Requirements: Proposals



- Proper review of all criteria validated by independent expert
- Mechanisms to ensure active engagement for material holdings

o Proposal: Contingency plans in case of distress and access to relevant expertise

- Mechanisms to ensure hold-to-maturity if assumed in the calibration
 - Proposal: Solvency and liquidity position and strategies, processes and reporting procedures for asset-liability management ensure ability to hold to maturity with level of confidence consistent with Article 101 (3) Solvency II.

Calibration of Debt in the SF: Proposals (1)



- Calibration for unrated debt based on calibration for rated debt assuming certain credit quality
- Idea 1: Modify existing spread risk charge to take potentially into account:
 - o Ability to hold to maturity
 - o Different credit risk
- Steps:
 - Decomposition into a) credit risk and b) liquidity component
 - o Reduce component a) and/or b)

Pros and Cons idea 1



The following applies to a) and/or b) Pros:

- Risk of deterioration in credit quality captured
- Risk measurement based on market price for risk
- Consistency with SII valuation
- Consistency with current treatment of other debt
 Cons:
- Ambiguity regarding split
- Use of evidence on default and recovery rates
- Safeguards to ensure hold-to-maturity sufficient?

Calibration of Debt in the SF: Proposals (2)



- Idea 2: Base calibration on variation of initial spreads for infrastructure projects
- Pros and cons:
 - Best information on market price of infrastructure project debt available (+)
 - o Information only for the start of the project (-)
 - o Availability of information on credit quality (-)

Calibration of Debt in the SF: Counterparty default risk module

Advantages:

• Evidence on default and recovery rates directly useable

Disadvantages:

- Mismatch with valuation
- Risk of deterioration in credit quality short of default not captured
- Safeguards to ensure hold-to-maturity sufficient?



Thank you