

OPC Subgroup: Supporting members in making effective investment decisions

Consult OPSG



Choice architecture for Max

Information

Distribution

Features of the scheme



Methods to increase understanding

- Questionnaire
- Speaking to experts
- (Scientific) literature

Unravel for each step investment decision

- Which choices are available to Max? And where choices are not made by Max, who is involved in making choices on behalf of Max?
- What are risks? (also behavioural perspective)
- What are supervisory activities/ legal requirements?

Expected outcomes

- Descriptive statistics different choice contexts as perceived by national supervisors
- Differences and similarities in balance between IORPs, Max and supervisors/ legal requirements
- Differences and similarities in approaches in importance information, distribution, features of scheme
- Identify issues arising from behavioural economics literature

Good practices - discussion

1. What would you see as the pros and cons when members have no choices to make?
2. What would you see as the pros and cons when members have active choices to make?
3. How do IORPs ensure an investment decision in the interest of the DC pension members?
4. What are effective supervisory approaches and legal requirements in place or what do you think should be in place to ensure investment decisions in the best interest of the scheme members?
5. Do you expect cross-country differences in perceptions of the pros and cons?



Any other comments or suggestions?

janneke.toussaint@afm.nl